

## REVIEWING PROCESS

1. All manuscripts will be subjected to single-blind peer-reviewing and are expected to meet the scientific criteria of novelty and academic excellence.
2. The corresponding author is responsible for ensuring that the article's publication has been approved by all other co-authors and takes responsibility for the paper during submission and peer review.
3. The submitted full paper will be reviewed by two independent reviewers for:
  - 3.1. Suitability for one of the topics of the conference;
  - 3.2. Scientific content and level, the relevance of presented results;
  - 3.3. Comprehensibility, conciseness and clarity of presentation;
  - 3.4. Correspondence with the template, language usage, and grammar.
4. Reviewers declare no conflict of interest or other ethical conflict with the articles they review. Within 7 days, any of the appointed reviewers may refuse to review the submitted paper. In case of refusal, another reviewer is appointed. The deadline for submitting reviews is 15 days.
5. The reviewer's comments and notes will be communicated to the corresponding author and corrections may be required to be made and the revised article resubmitted before the prescribed deadlines.
6. Each reviewer makes a recommendation for acceptance, the need to correct or reject the article.
7. When one of the reviewers recommends acceptance and the other rejects the article, a senior reviewer is appointed. The senior reviewer's recommendation is final.
8. All accepted papers must be presented during the conference before being published.